

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,629	07/24/2003	Tim Vermeiren	Q76451	9053
7	7590 09/22/2005		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.			NGUYEN, TU T	
Washington, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2877	

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/625,629	VERMEIREN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tu T. Nguyen	2877				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this (SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to th	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 8-10</u> is/are rejected.	•					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-7</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 July 2003</u> is/are: a)[cted to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			FR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			l Stane			
application from the International Bureau	•	. roosivou in ano ridaona	· Olago			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	t received.	,			
		•				
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/24/2003.	5)	Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			
- Spot trade State	ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	 ·	· <u>-</u>			

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1) The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A

 COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer

 program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)),

 and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be

 submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

Application/Control Number: 10/625,629 Page 3

Art Unit: 2877

(f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.

- (1) Field of the Invention.
- (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).
- 2) Specification, pages 2-4, all the claim numbers should be deleted because the claim number in the application might be different during the prosecution.

Claim Objections

Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 5, lines 3,7, the phrase "and/or" is an alternative phrase. It should be changed to "and" or "or".

Application/Control Number: 10/625,629

Art Unit: 2877

Abstract

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: At the end of the abstract, the phrase "figure 1" should be deleted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2,8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bjork et al (5,128,619).

With respect to claims 1,8-10 Bjork discloses a system for interpreting reflectometry information. The system comprises: a first module 16 (fig 1) for making a first interpretation 24 (fig 1) and a second module 14 (fig 1) for making a second interpretation; with said first module being a generating system-part for said second module RS232 (fig 1) (column 3, lines 15-20) and with said second module 14 (fig 1) being a testing system-part 146,150 (fig 5b) and a debugging system-part 143 (fig 5b) for said first module.

Bjork discloses the first module comprising: a generating module-part Vs (fig 3), a testing module-part Vi (fig 3) and a debugging module-part (figs 4a – 4e) (column 4, lines 40-60). Bjork does not disclose the second module as claimed. However, it would have been obvious to modify Bjork's second module having a generating module-part, a

Art Unit: 2877

testing module-part and a debugging module-part as claimed to make the system more accurate.

With respect to claim 2, it would have been obvious to modify Bjork with a third module as claimed to measure different characteristics of the fiber. The modification involves only routine skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: prior arts of record do not disclose an interpretation system comprising: a first interpretation is a pulse-based interpretation, a second interpretation being an energybased interpretation, and a third interpretation being a simulation-based interpretation which structurally arranged and functionally operated as claimed in claim 3 in combination with all the base claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tu T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2424. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F 7:30-5:30.

Art Unit: 2877

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Toatley Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-2800 Ext. 77. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tu T. Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2877

09/17/2005